

EXHIBIT

C

EXHIBIT A: Plaintiffs / Witnesses Alleged Burdens Addressed by SB 5

Person	Applicable Reasonable-Impediment Exception Under SB 5						
	Lack of Transportation	Lack of Birth Certificate or Related Documents	Work Schedule	Lost or Stolen Identification	Disability or Illness	Family Responsibilities	Not Yet Received ID
Barber	✓ (ROA.110750-51)	✓ (ROA.110757)			✓ (ROA.110751)		
Bates	✓ (ROA.110815)	✓ (ROA.110819-20)					
Benjamin		✓ (<i>Veasey</i> , 830 F.3d at 252-55)					
F. Carrier		✓ (<i>Veasey</i> , 830 F.3d at 254-55)			✓ (ROA.98705)		
Clark	✓ (ROA.100540)		✓ (ROA.100542)				
Eagleton	✓ (ROA.111522)	✓ (ROA.111519)					
Espinosa		✓ (ROA.111565)					
Estrada	✓ (ROA.99362)	✓ (ROA.99368)					
Gandy		✓ (ROA.99829-30)					
Gholar		✓ (ROA.111763)					
Holmes	✓ (ROA.111972)						
Mr. Lara		✓ (ROA.99838-39)					
Ms. Lara		✓ (ROA.99855)					
Martinez		✓ (ROA.112241)					
Mendez					✓ (ROA.99031)		
Taylor		✓ (ROA.99382)		✓ (ROA.99379-80)			
Washington				✓ (ROA.113106)			

Four plaintiffs and six witnesses had SB14-compliant ID at the time of trial. Benavidez Dep. 35:19-22 (ROA.110938); Bingham Dep. 37:9-10 (ROA.97456); Brickner Dep. 18:23-22:3 (ROA.111130-31); Burns Dep. 13:13-15 (ROA.114403); Jackson Dep. 30:12-32:22 (ROA.112038-40); Mellor-Crummey Dep. 14:18-15:11 (ROA.112345); Ozias Dep. 17:16-19 (ROA.112576); Sanchez Dep. 8:1-12 (ROA.112703); Trotter Dep. 51:6-55:17 (ROA.112928-29); Washington Dep. 34:3-13 (ROA.113126); *see also* Opinion 79 (Oct. 9, 2014), ECF No. 628 (ROA.27104). Under SB 5, at least two witnesses may also now vote with an expired driver's license. Espinosa Dep. 33:18-21 (ROA.111571); Trotter Dep. 35:23-36:19 (ROA.112924). Former plaintiff Michelle Bessiake—an Indiana resident who votes in Indiana—testified that she did not face a reasonable impediment to acquiring necessary ID. *See* Bessiake Dep. 83:21-84:15 (ROA.111040) (“Q: [I]s there any other reason . . . why obtaining one of those forms of identification is unduly burdensome? A. Because I don’t want any of those identification.”). Following this testimony, Bessiake’s claims were voluntarily dismissed with prejudice. ECF No. 338 (ROA.8885-86).